

About homosexual as parents by adoption.

At first, some words to the button of comprehension. Homosexuality or behaviors in this way are normality in the nature. There is not often, normally about 5 % of a population, in our society it grown up, as specially the definition. The definition contents homosexuality, the behavior it class as bisexuality, the abuse, the homophobia, transgender and transsexual so as Queer or peer group, -organization. They do it because the definition of homosexuality is to have sexual practicing with the same sex. The most homosexual people have a different contents of themselves, that is mostly individual it is right to see themselves as that what they decided to be.


As an example is that scientist find out that males with homosexual/ bisexual inspiration and behaviors are more able to consider in other person, in case of accepting this. So they will be the better husbands. For female it is not necessary, because they are mostly near to them self sex as male. The modern society demands of a modern male that he is able to consider in different case of Life, so that the old cliché of a man is no longer actuality. No one must be longer to hunt for animals to survival. Of course this is only for modern societies and peacefully times. No one has a study about soldiers in a war of these behaviors.

They find out that homophobia is a reaction on a positive feeling for the same sex, in the most case; here it means over 51 % of homophobia. The same is with the genetic determination, 51 % of the population have a gene who allowed them to have an easier inspiration of homosexual activity. It is not the reason to be homosexual. It not declares homophobia or homosexual. That is a process where at the end a person decided to life like that.

It is interesting, that the most interested information about sexuality will find out by the discussion about the homomarriages and about to allow an adoption.

I think for the first step it is clear. But we have to look twice and different it because in the study of homosexual it finds out that there is a different content.

It is in the whole history of the humans clear, that a deciding should be fallen in a free will. That content that the person is clear in the psychological and history of his life, to decide a clear behavior. Of course no one is in this definition clear to decided. But we decide every day every time and we make mistakes. So it should of course to be normal to have or to be a "coming out" or "coming in". But in our society nothing is normal in sex. We also life in clichés of a world of male and female, different in social behaviors. It means like that a female is to be work in a kitchen, during the male is hunting. As special it is difficult to find right words for that process, because here is it not clear as it is in a world of old clichés. We have to think different and this is also a process from one to the next generation.



Nicolaus Dinter

2017-03-24

Telefon: 0049 - 176 - 49 82 84 55

E-Mail: nicolaus@nicolaus-dinter.com

[Www.nicolaus-dinter.com](http://www.nicolaus-dinter.com)

And we will run in a lot of wrong directions, that is a normal process, but we should be able to change our opinion and behaviors. That is a request of all of us. So during I write this article I am not clear in the right or wrong. That isn't that what I will; I want to clear the confusion of the different of opinions. By a help of scientific. All other opinions could be individual and should be handled like that, but I think we need a ground of discussions. And the science will give us some. I do not make it easy to myself to write this article, because there is a lot of different thinks which reacted with each other. And it is sometime not clear if it is attribute or only a symptom. Also is a discrimination of people not helpful in a discussion. So I please the reader to be kind to me if I did not get it in a perfect context.

So I think homosexual is be clear by an inspiration. It is not more, than that. Of course peer groups and peer organization have a clear meaning of that what is homosexual but it is not the truth. But this is also a part of human society as the cliché of male and female. As it been living in some kind of homosexual partnership. As special, in Germany we have more kinds or word for a partnership who allowed us to different it, in English it is difficult to explain, on one hand it shows that there is a clear imagination for being together which each other, on the other hand is it free to declare because there is a lot of possibility to be.

General homosexual and heterosexual should be equality, because the main different is the decision to be by a free will to be one of them. Naturally the definition is in a healthy society not usefully. But we are not a healthy society so we need concepts for our existents.

The question is not if homosexual are able to be a parent or not, this is not a question of sexual orientation. The question is more to have a look to protect children for every kind of abuse. And if it is only a kind of risk of abuse. This consider a question of it. If we look to the history of statistic about homosexuality we found a lot of abuse in the time. At one hand this means not that the future show the same effect, but it shows that there is a risk how is high enough to decide if no more regulation is be installing not to give the same rights in marrying homosexual. So the question is if homosexual get the same right like heterosexual there must be a regulation by that way. Don't forget it is for protection of childes. Not to discriminate against homosexual. If the science and the politic will find a regulation for that problem and I am sure they have an idea, it will not work if one part of the society followed a regulation and the rest does not.

Here is not the question if on parent is better as the other. This question will confuse. It is the question of risk and of protection that risk. So if we to treat as equal, we need a new idea for protect childhood and childes against abuse of every kind.



This is difficulty, because if we took a look to mental disorders, it was easier to find disorders than to find that what is normal. The WHO has definite it as that:

“Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.” (07.April 1948, the preamble)

So this is not functional for the human society, because if we followed this, no one is normal. Because the point of social well-being will not work if there is any disorder or disease in the surroundings. But we have an idea of normality and we have to decide, if it is individual possible or not. We have to decide how is able to educate a child or not.

This is a question how is incorrect, because no one of the world can decide this. But we can decide a risk. So if we find more risk in a population of a society we have to minimize the risk. This will only work by check the personality up and Therapy. But if we do it only by a part of it we discriminate this part. So for equality we must do this by all kind of the society. How kind this could be, no one knew really.


So the main question in this discussion is to minimize risk of abuse in a society. This means naturally more control. These have to discuss if we need more control to minimize the risk of abuse. If we need more helping systems to care childe or if we want to go the way of self-regulation. Of course self-regulation is complained with a lot of victims and collateral damages.

I will go to a different example;

I work for a self-help-group, so I do it transparent from the beginning, that everyone could control my work, where I collect money, where I spend money, what kind of theory I work with. I protect my group member as good as possible I work and do thinks in my name and give no member-list out. Only in open groups each member could decide to write his name or not. I am under control by the state, Institution and finance office.

This is my work, but how should this work in a point of individuality?

As a résumé it is important to clarify about clichés. To be able to fight for protection of Childs. This means of course if I want to adaptation a child, I must open my psyche for control to be sure not to abuse in the future anyone.



Nicolaus Dinter

2017-03-24

Telefon: 0049 - 176 - 49 82 84 55

E-Mail: nicolaus@nicolaus-dinter.com

www.nicolaus-dinter.com

I have no child, because my partner had have and a second I can't pay for, so as the time I must work, I didn't have it for the child. I ever want and will be sure, if I had a child, I will have the time for the child to meet the need of the child. As I want to give a future for the child.

This is what it means to have a child, but this is for all human, not for a group only and here is the biggest problem. Childs have to meet there needs. Childs have a special kind which people must understand. And this is as a major challenge to the parents. So if one group is under control, the others must be the same. And I think that is the reason, why homosexuals have difficulties to adaptation child.



Nicolaus Dinter

2017-03-24

Telefon: 0049 - 176 - 49 82 84 55

E-Mail: nicolaus@nicolaus-dinter.com

www.nicolaus-dinter.com

